

**ERRATA SHEET OF
BRIAN HORII
ON BEHALF OF
THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
DOCKET NO. 2019-184-E**

**IN RE: SOUTH CAROLINA ENERGY FREEDOM ACT (H. 3659)
PROCEEDING TO ESTABLISH DOMINION ENERGY SOUTH CAROLINA,
INCORPORATED'S STANDARD OFFER, AVOIDED COST
METHODOLOGIES, FORM CONTRACT POWER PURCHASE
AGREEMENTS, COMMITMENT TO SELL FORMS, AND ANY OTHER
TERMS OR CONDITIONS NECESSARY (INCLUDES SMALL POWER
PRODUCERS AS DEFINED IN 16 UNITED STATES CODE 796, AS
AMENDED) – S.C. CODE ANN. § 58-41-20(A)**

The following changes are made to my Direct Testimony:

Direct Testimony

The following paragraph found on Page **40** of **51**, line **20** through Page **41** of **51** line **6** should be deleted:

To introduce further bias into the Company's model, the Company does not use any low-cost market purchases in the change case. DESC has not provided any justification to ORS for treating capacity needs differently in the two cases. The avoided cost analysis should only change the available generation capacity in the change case in order to calculate the impact of changes in capacity. What DESC has done is change both the generation capacity **and** the method the Company uses to meet generation capacity needs (i.e.: the use of market purchases in only the base case). Moreover, DESC provided no justification for this change in generation capacity procurement strategies between the

base case and the change case. Therefore, I conclude that the Company has not supported its assumptions for using power purchases in the base case when capacity needs near 93 MW.